

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.
8425 SEASONS PARKWAY, SUITE 105
ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA 55125
TEL 651.735-1100
FAX 651.735-1102
WWW.SSIPLAW.COM

OFFICIAL
RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 12 2003

RECEIVED

DEC 15 2003

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO:	Examiner Javid A. Amini	FROM:	Steven J. Shumaker	OFFICE OF PETITIONS
COMPANY:	USPTO	DATE:	DECEMBER 12, 2003	
FAX NUMBER:	703-872-9315	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:	3	
PHONE NUMBER:	703-872-9313	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER:	1037-040US01	
RE:	Interview Summary	YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER:	09/778,484	

URGENT FOR REVIEW PLEASE COMMENT PLEASE REPLY

NOTES/COMMENTS:

PATENT**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant:	Christopher J. Edge and Timothy A. Fischer	Examiner:	Javid A. Amini
Serial No.:	09/778,484	Group Art Unit:	2672
Filed:	February 7, 2001	Docket No.:	10257US01 1037-040US01
Title:	COLOR IMAGE DISPLAY ACCURACY USING COMPARISON OF COMPLEX SHAPES TO REFERENCE BACKGROUND		

RECEIVED
DEC 15 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on December 12, 2003.

By: Angela Watson
Name: Angela Watson

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In a telephone interview on December 12, 2003, Applicants' representative, the undersigned, spoke with Examiner Amini concerning the rejections set forth in the final Office Action mailed October 21, 2003. In the interview, the Examiner and Applicants' representative discussed the rejections under 35 U.S.C § 112, first and second paragraphs, and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, Applicants' representative explained the manner in which the specification adequately describes the estimation of gamma and gray balance, and sought to clarify the Examiner's understanding concerning the equations used to compute gamma.

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, Applicants discussed with the Examiner the importance of a non-rectangular shape in promoting heightened sensitivity in the human eye for recognition of gray scale differences, as described in Applicants' specification. The Examiner stated that the term "non-rectangular" encompasses any shape that is not a rectangle, and suggested that Applicants consider amendments relating to the complex shape or boundary of an element.

OFFICIAL
RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
DEC 12 2003

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103, the Examiner suggested that Applicants consider amendments relating to the complex shape or boundary of an element to more clearly distinguish over the applied prior art.

No agreement was reached in the telephone interview.

Date:

12-12-03

By:



Name: Steven J. Shumaker
Reg. No.: 36,275

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.
8425 Seasons Parkway, Suite 105
St. Paul, Minnesota 55125
Telephone: 651.735.1100
Facsimile: 651.735.1102